



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,187	04/09/2004	Anuraag Agrawal	CING-0649/889.US	1786

54499 7590 02/21/2007
WOODCOCK & WASHBURN LLP
ONE LIBERTY PLACE
46TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, HUY D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2617

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	02/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/822,187

Applicant(s)

AGRAWAL ET AL.

Examiner

Huy D. Nguyen

Art Unit

2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 and 21-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 17 and 18 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-16, 19, 20 and 38-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 12-14, 19-20, 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rankin et al. (US 2002/0155844 A1) in view of Godfrey et al. (US 2004/0205330 A1).

Regarding claims 12, 38-39, Rankin et al. teaches a mobile device (e.g., mobile device 100) registered with a mobile service provider including a content sharing system, the mobile device comprising: means for receiving user input (e.g., input device 111); means for providing output (output device 109); memory means (e.g., memory 113); radio transceiver (e.g., transceiver 110) and processing means (e.g., processor 112) coupled to the memory means; means for presenting privacy management options via an output component, wherein the privacy management options allow the user of the mobile device to specify the extent to which the user would like to receive share content messages initiated by another user, as facilitated by the content sharing system; means for inputting a set of privacy management settings associated with the privacy management options (see paragraph [0035]); means for transmitting (e.g., transceiver 110) the inputted set of privacy management settings associated with the privacy management options to the content sharing system; and means for receiving share content messages in accordance with the inputted set of privacy management settings (see paragraphs [0029], [0035]). Rankin et al. does not teach that the other user of the content sharing system has

Art Unit: 2617

complied with privacy management functions imposed by the content sharing system. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Godfrey et al. (e.g., pre-processing encrypted and/or signed messages at a host system before the message is transmitted to a wireless mobile communication device – see the abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Godfrey et al. to the teaching of Rankin et al. to improve security for the network.

Regarding claim 13, Rankin et al. teaches the mobile device of claim 12 wherein the privacy management options include a privacy management option that allows the mobile device to receive all share content messages that are not explicitly blocked (see paragraph [0029]).

Regarding claim 14, Rankin et al. teaches the mobile device of claim 12 wherein the privacy management options include a privacy management option that blocks all share content messages that are not explicitly allowed (see paragraph [0029]).

Regarding claims 19-20, Rankin et al. teaches the mobile device of claim 12 wherein the share content messages include WAP push messages that, when received on the mobile device, allow the user of the mobile device to access the content via the mobile device (see paragraphs [0031], [0032]).

Regarding claim 40, the examiner takes official notice that access an address book for selection of desired recipients has been well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to access an address book for selection of desired recipients to save bandwidth.

Regarding claim 41, the examiner takes official notice that it has been known data transmitting to a mobile device is limited due to the system bandwidth. Thus, it would have been

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that a number of the user input forms are limited.

3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rankin et al. in view of Godfrey et al. and in further view of Seligmann (US 2004/0203673 A1).

Regarding claim 15, the combination of Rankin et al. and Godfrey et al. teaches the claimed invention except an option for the user of the mobile device to designate a list of explicitly blocked senders. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Seligmann (see paragraph [0006]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Seligmann to the teaching of Rankin et al. and Godfrey et al. for security and user convenience.

4. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rankin et al. in view of Godfrey et al. and in further view of Donahue (US 2003/0123465 A1).

Regarding claim 16, the combination of Rankin et al. and Godfrey et al. teaches the claimed invention except an option for the user of the mobile device to designate a list of explicitly blocked content. However, the preceding limitation is taught in Donahue (see paragraph [0020]). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Donahue to the teaching of Rankin et al. and Godfrey et al. for security and user convenience.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 17 and 18 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of base claim 1. Thus, they are now allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2617

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huy D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7845. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


Huy D Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2617


JEAN GELIN
PRIMARY EXAMINER